

## 2010 MS4 NOI Checklist

EFO: Johnson City Reviewer: Brown Patton  
MS4: City of Elizabethton Permit No.: TNS075281

In the comment section, point out deficiencies and recommendations. If a question is answered with a "no", then identify the action taken (NOI returned, additional information requested etc.).

Item	Comment
<b>Part I – Administrative Information</b>	
Is all required contact information provided?	Yes
Is organizational chart provided?	Yes
<b>Part IIA – Area Served</b>	
Is all area information provided? *note: for county MS4s "area unincorporated" in the NOI is "MS4 Area" in Waterlog	Yes
If a county MS4, is an indication if the permit will be used to regulate non-UA portions of the county provided?	N/A
<b>Part IIC – Maps</b>	
Are all applicable maps provided and required content legible?	No, Topography or Drainage Patterns not included
<b>Part IID – Identifying Impaired Streams</b>	
Have impaired streams associated with the MS4 been identified?	Yes
Is the list complete (based on most recent assessment)?	No, Sinking Creek TN06010103046-1000 (2008)
<b>Part III – Legal Authority</b>	
Have all stormwater related ordinances or other regulatory mechanisms been provided?	Yes
<b>Part IV – Signature of Responsible Officer(s)</b>	
Is there an original signature(s)	Yes
Does the person meet the signatory requirements?	Yes
Are there any co-permittees? If yes, are there original signatures for each co-permittee?	No
Does the person(s) meet the signatory requirements?	N/A
<b>Part V – Section 1 (Education and Involvement)</b>	

See Comments



Were all "Current Activities" questions answered completely?	Yes
Did the MS4 provide a solution for any applicable question answered with a "No"?	N/A
Are specific proposed BMPs and brief descriptions provided?	Yes
Does the MS4 identify any applicable specific groups targeted by the proposed BMPs?	Yes
Based on available information (NOI, annual reports, CEIs etc) are the proposed BMPs expected to meet minimum permit requirements?	Yes
Are measurable goals provided for all proposed BMPs?	Yes
Are implementation schedules provided for all proposed BMPs?	Yes
Is primary contact and department role information provided?	Yes
Does the MS4 indicate it will partner with another MS4(s) or institution(s) in implementing a BMP?	Yes
Does the MS4 indicate that another MS4 (co-permittee) will be responsible for implementing a BMP?	Yes

#### Part V – Section 2 (Illicit Discharge)

Were all "Current Activities" questions answered completely?	Yes
Did the MS4 provide a solution for any applicable question answered with a "No"?	N/A
Are specific proposed BMPs and brief descriptions provided?	Yes
Does the MS4 identify any applicable specific groups targeted by the proposed BMPs?	Yes
Based on available information (NOI, annual reports, CEIs etc) are the proposed BMPs expected to meet minimum permit requirements?	Yes
Are measurable goals provided for all proposed BMPs?	Yes
Are implementation schedules provided for all proposed BMPs?	Yes
Is primary contact and department role information provided?	Yes
Does the MS4 indicate it will partner with another MS4(s) or institution(s) in implementing a BMP?	No
Does the MS4 indicate that another MS4 (co-permittee) will be responsible for implementing a BMP?	No

### Part V – Section 3 (Construction Stormwater)

Were all "Current Activities" questions answered completely?

Yes

Did the MS4 provide a solution for any applicable question answered with a "NO"?

Yes

Are specific proposed BMPs and brief descriptions provided?

Yes

Does the MS4 identify any applicable specific groups targeted by the proposed BMPs?

Yes

Based on available information (NOI, annual reports, CEIs etc) are the proposed BMPs expected to meet minimum permit requirements?

Yes

Are measurable goals provided for all proposed BMPs?

Yes

Are implementation schedules provided for all proposed BMPs?

Yes

Is primary contact and department role information provided?

Yes

Does the MS4 indicate it will partner with another MS4(s) or institution(s) in implementing a BMP?

No

Does the MS4 indicate that another MS4 (co-permittee) will be responsible for implementing a BMP?

No

### Part V – Section 4 (Permanent Stormwater)

Were all "Current Activities" questions answered completely?

Yes

Did the MS4 provide a solution for any applicable question answered with a "No"?

N/A

Are specific proposed BMPs and brief descriptions provided?

Yes

Does the MS4 identify any applicable specific groups targeted by the proposed BMPs?

Yes

Based on available information (NOI, annual reports, CEIs etc) are the proposed BMPs expected to meet minimum permit requirements?

Yes

Are measurable goals provided for all proposed BMPs?

Yes

Are implementation schedules provided for all proposed BMPs?

Yes

Is primary contact and department role information provided?

Yes

Does the MS4 indicate it will partner with another MS4(s) or institution(s) in implementing a BMP?

No

Does the MS4 indicate that another MS4 (co-permittee) will be responsible

No



for implementing a BMP?	
<b>Part V – Section 5 (Pollution Prevention)</b>	
Were all "Current Activities" questions answered completely?	Yes
Did the MS4 provide a solution for any applicable question answered with a "No"?	N/A
Are specific proposed BMPs and brief descriptions provided?	Yes
Does the MS4 identify any applicable specific groups targeted by the proposed BMPs?	No
Based on available information (NOI, annual reports, CEIs etc) are the proposed BMPs expected to meet minimum permit requirements?	Yes
Are measurable goals provided for all proposed BMPs?	Yes
Are implementation schedules provided for all proposed BMPs?	Yes
Is primary contact and department role information provided?	Yes
Does the MS4 indicate it will partner with another MS4(s) or institution(s) in implementing a BMP?	No
Does the MS4 indicate that another MS4 (co-permittee) will be responsible for implementing a BMP?	No

Notes/Comments:

1. Sinking Creek lies within an area that has been de-annexed so this creek is not required in City of Elizabethton's monitoring plan.
2. Part V section 1 - Entity designated as "responsible" for BMP should have been included in "partnering" box so no interlocutory agreement needed.